

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
RICARDO SOTO, JR.,

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 7/20/2021

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil Action No.:
1:20-CV-07995-VSB-RWL

KILOLO KIJAKAZI,
ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.
-----X

**PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO
MODIFY THE COURT'S BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon all the prior proceedings heretofore filed, Plaintiff moves this Court, on submission, for an Order granting an extension of time to the Court's scheduling order in this case. Under the current schedule, Plaintiff was to serve Defendant with a written settlement proposal by June 28, 2021 and the parties were to either stipulate to a dismissal, remand, or advise the Court that they would brief their respective positions by July 28, 2021. However, due to an inadvertent calendaring error, Plaintiff's written settlement proposal was not filed with counsel for Defendant until July 13, 2021. In light of this, counsel requests a two week extension, until August 11, 2021, for the parties to advise the Court if they will agree to a dismissal, remand, or proceed to briefing the case. Counsel for Plaintiff also requests an extension of time to file his motion and brief to October 11, 2021, should the parties opt to file motions and briefs in the case with corresponding extensions of time to December 10, 2021 for Defendant to file a motion and brief and December 31, 2021 for Plaintiff's reply, if any.

Plaintiff has contacted counsel for Defendant who kindly consents to this motion.

Dated: July 19, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Charles E. Binder
Law Office of Charles E. Binder
and Harry J. Binder, LLP
485 Madison Avenue, Suite 501
New York, NY 10022
(212)-677-6801
fedcourt@binderlawfirm.com

Motion denied in part and granted in part. The schedule proposed by Plaintiff is not feasible because of the combination of circumstances that this case (1) will be on the March 2022 “six-month list,” and (2) is upon referral, thus requiring issuing a report and recommendation with ample time for the District Judge to consider any objections. The Court has greater flexibility in cases for which the parties consent for all purposes. Accordingly, the specific schedule requested is denied. The schedule is revised, however, as follows: Plaintiff’s motion due **August 10, 2021**; Defendant’s motion due **October 10, 2021**; Plaintiff’s reply due **October 31, 2021**.

SO ORDERED:

7/20/2021


HON. ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE